The University of Texas at Austin

Final Exam

- This exam is 3 hours. Stop writing when “time” is called. You must turn in your exam; we will not collect it. Do not get up or pack up in the final ten minutes. The instructor will leave the room 3 hours and 3 minutes after the exam begins and will not accept exams outside the room.

- There are 24 questions in this booklet. Some may be harder than others, and some earn more points than others. You may want to skim all questions before starting.

- This exam is closed book and notes. You may not use electronics: phones, calculators, laptops, etc. You may refer to TWO two-sided 8.5x11” sheet with 10 point or larger Times New Roman font, 1 inch or larger margins, and a maximum of 55 lines per side.

- If you find a question unclear or ambiguous, be sure to write any assumptions you make.

- Follow the instructions: if they ask you to justify something, explain your reasoning and any important assumptions. Write brief, precise answers. Rambling brain dumps will not work and will waste time. Think before you start writing so that you can answer crisply. Be neat. If we can’t understand your answer, we can’t give you credit!

- There is no credit for leaving questions blank. However, to discourage unfocused responses, we will be grading the clarity of your answer. Moreover, some questions impose “sentence limits”.

- Don’t linger. If you know the answer, give it, and move on.

- Write your name and UT EID on this cover sheet and on the bottom of every page of the exam.

Do not write in the boxes below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I (xx/33)</th>
<th>II (xx/18)</th>
<th>III (xx/29)</th>
<th>IV (xx/20)</th>
<th>Total (xx/100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Name:                                    UT EID:

I  Unix, concurrency (33 points total)

1. [3 points] Consider the following statement: “On the x86, if a given memory reference (load or store) causes a page fault exception, then that memory reference also caused a TLB miss.”

Is the above statement True or False? Justify your answer briefly below. You do not need more than two sentences.

2. [4 points] On Unix, a user-level program issues the following system call:

```c
write(fd, buf, 10);
```

The file descriptor, `fd`, can refer to which of the following?

Circle ALL that apply:

A  A device  
B  A file  
C  A directory  
D  A pipe  
E  A network socket  
F  A scatter-gather descriptor

3. [4 points] On Linux, which of the following produces output in the ELF format?

Circle ALL that apply:

A  a command-line invocation of `$ gcc -S foo.c`  
B  a command-line invocation of `$ as foo.s`  
C  a command-line invocation of `$ gdb foo`  
D  the default linker  
E  the default loader
4. [3 points] Several times this semester, we have said that Unix’s separation of the fork() and exec() system calls is powerful. This question asks you to give an example of how the programmer can use that separation.

**Give an example that we have seen this semester of functionality that the programmer might insert between fork() and exec(). Be brief; the question is not asking you to write code.**

5. [6 points] Pat Q. Hacker gets a job building a kernel for multiple-CPU machines. Pat implements a standard spinlock for use inside the kernel, because the kernel may be simultaneously executing on two CPUs and may need to synchronize access to global data structures. The spinlock exposes an API of acquire() and release(). Pat’s implementation of acquire(), among other things, disables interrupts on the local processor; release(), among other things, reenables them.

Pat’s kernel shares data between interrupt handlers and other kernel code; all of this code acquires and releases the spinlock. Assume that when a CPU is executing inside an interrupt handler, interrupts are disabled on that CPU (because interrupts vector through interrupt gates, as in JOS).

Now, Pat’s manager, who dislikes disabling interrupts, argues that the code that executes between acquire() and release() need not run with the given CPU’s interrupts disabled.

**Pat’s manager is incorrect; the code protected by spinlocks must run with interrupts disabled. Explain why briefly below.**

**If acquiring a spinlock disables interrupts, why do we need the spinning and the flag in the spinlock? Why not implement acquire() as simply “disable interrupts” and release() as simply “enable interrupts”? Explain briefly.**
6. **[4 points]** We mentioned in class that, when writing code with multiple locks, the programmer must establish an order on locks and then acquire locks in that order. However, this approach is a manual process, which raises a question: why don’t we build a compiler that complains when the programmer writes code that would violate the lock order?

**Below, explain briefly (one or two sentences) why the compiler cannot enforce the lock order in all cases, even if the programmer declares the intended lock order to the compiler.**

7. **[3 points]** Imagine two scenarios, A and B. In scenario A, a two-processor machine provides sequential consistency, and two different threads run, one on each processor. In scenario B, the machine is a single CPU (so we don’t need to talk about consistency), and the same two threads execute on the processor. Assume that the compiler does not reorder instructions. Define the end state of the computation as the state of memory after the computation terminates.

Consider the following statement: “The set of possible end states in each scenario is identical”.

**Is the above statement True or False? Justify your answer briefly below. You do not need more than two sentences.**

8. **[3 points]** In the context of the Determinator reading (“Efficient System-Enforced Deterministic Parallelism”, Aviram et al., OSDI 2010), we drew a distinction in class between deterministic execution and predictable execution (the latter being stronger than the former).

**Describe this distinction in one or two sentences. We will read only the first two sentences.**
9. [3 points] Recall that our treatment of Determinator included a guest presentation by Chris Cotter. Which of the following were mentioned by Chris in his presentation?

Circle ALL that apply:

A Parent-child relationships in Linux’s process model  
B Kernel-level synchronization primitives in Linux  
C User-level synchronization primitives in Linux  
D Memory-mapping hardware into his root process  
E A sample Web server  
F A sample matrix multiplication computation
II Files, transactions, I/O (18 points total)

10. [4 points] Assume that the current directory contains a file, a, and two directories, b and c. Invoking `ls` produces the following output:

```
$ ls
a  b  c
```

In contrast, invoking `ls -F` gives more information; in this example, it indicates to the user which of the entries is a directory:

```
$ ls -F
a  b/  c/
```

Below, explain precisely why `ls -F` causes the file system implementation to do more work, compared to `ls`. Use a maximum of two sentences.

11. [4 points] Recall that the log-structured file system (LFS, as in “The design and implementation of a log-structured file system”, Rosenblum & Ousterhout, ACM TOCS, 1992) does not perform better than a traditional file system on all workloads. Consider the following workload. A benchmark program has a burn-in phase in which it starts with a fresh file system and then creates 1000 empty directories (this step does not count in the workload). The benchmark program then measures the performance of 10,000 creates and deletes of 1 KB files, with the enclosing directory chosen randomly from all 1000 directories in the file system. Is this workload one for which you would expect LFS or a traditional (optimized) Unix file system to do better? Assume that LFS’s cleaning overhead is negligible.

Below, state which type of file system—log-structured or traditional Unix—you would expect to perform better on the above workload. Justify your answer briefly.
12. [6 points] In class, we saw that a key component for implementing transactions with atomicity (which we sometimes called “all-or-nothing atomicity”) was a logging protocol plus a recovery protocol. This question will ask you to adjust the logging protocol.

Recall that the system assumes (a) an on-disk log and (b) separate on-disk data structures (such as a database’s tables), known as stable storage. The log protocol includes several record types, all of which include a transaction identifier:

- BEGIN: indicates the start of a transaction.
- CHANGE (also called UPDATE): logs a particular change that the system wishes to make to stable storage. Includes an “undo” action (which, if applied, undoes the change) and a “redo” action (which is the requested change).
- OUTCOME: includes whether the result of the transaction is COMMIT or ABORT. Expresses to the recovery protocol (which reads the log) what the actual result of the transaction was.
- END: indicates that the transaction is “complete”, in the sense given below.

The logging protocol enforces two invariants:

- The system writes a change to stable storage only after logging the corresponding CHANGE record. (Here “logging” means “putting the log entry on disk”.)
- The system writes the END record only after the transaction is fully applied to stable storage (or fully aborted in the sense that no trace of the transaction’s updates appears in stable storage).

Finally, recall that recovery after a crash is a two-pass process: the system scans backwards from the end of the log, undoing some changes, and then scans forwards, redoing some changes.

**What modification or addition to the invariants above could we make so that recovery could consist of only a single undo pass? Be brief.**

**What modification or addition to the invariants above could we make so that recovery could consist of only a single redo pass? Be brief.**

Circle True or False for each item below:

True / False  TxOS provides transactional support for all system calls in Linux.

True / False  TxOS provides transactional support for operating system state, not application state (heap, stack, etc.).

True / False  If TxOS detects a conflict between two transactions, it chooses as the winner of the conflict the process with the higher number of shares.

True / False  A technique that appears in TxOS is using shadow objects that correspond to key OS data structures.

True / False  A technique that appears in TxOS is splitting key OS data structures into two pieces.

True / False  A technique that appears in TxOS is efficient de-duplication of application-supplied buffers.

True / False  A technique that appears in TxOS is special-case handling of linked lists.
III  I can’t think of a unifying topic for this section (29 points total)

14. [4 points] This question concerns the reading “Design philosophy of the DARPA Internet protocols” (D. D. Clark, SIGCOMM 1988). Clark mentions various goals held by the original Internet architects (in a priority order). Which of the following goals does Clark NOT mention?
   Circle ALL that apply:
   A  Survivability in the face of failure
   B  Support for heterogeneous network technologies
   C  Support for heterogeneous network traffic
   D  Security
   E  Accountability
   F  Compatibility with government regulation

15. [4 points] This question concerns the virtualization of virtual memory, as described in class and outlined in “Virtual Memory Management in VMWare ESX Server” (C. Waldsburger, OSDI 2002). Recall that shadow page tables are maintained by the virtual machine monitor (VMM) and map the guest process’s virtual memory pages to machine pages (by guest process, we mean a process run by the guest OS). A primary page table, on the other hand, is maintained by the guest OS and maps a guest process’s virtual pages to physical pages inside the virtual guest. (There is also a per-guest pmap, maintained by the VMM, that maps physical pages to machine pages or disk locations.) Both the shadow page tables and the primary page tables have the x86-defined page table structure.
   For each of the four questions below, indicate by letter which entity performs the actions (choose the best answer). The choices are given after the questions.
   Which entity writes the P (present) bit in the entries of the primary page tables? __________
   Which entity writes the A (accessed) bit in the entries of the primary page tables? __________
   Which entity writes the W (writable) bit in the entries of the shadow page tables? __________
   Which entity writes the D (dirty) bit in the entries of the shadow page tables? __________
   The choices are:
   A  The guest OS
   B  The guest process (running on the guest OS)
   C  The virtual machine monitor (VMM)
   D  The actual processor (on which the VMM runs)
   E  Mike Dahlin
16. [6 points] Many scheduling algorithms are parameterized. For instance, the round-robin algorithm requires a parameter to indicate the time quantum. The multi-level feedback (MLF) queue scheduling algorithm has parameters to define the number of queues, the scheduling algorithm for each queue, the criteria to move processes between queues, and the criteria to interrupt a running process. Hence, each of these algorithms represents a set of behaviors. Further, one algorithm may simulate another in the sense that choosing its parameters appropriately may cause it to behave like another algorithm (for example, round-robin with infinite quantum duration is the same as first-come, first-served (FCFS)).

This question asks whether multilevel feedback queues and FCFS can simulate each other.

**Can FCFS simulate multi-level feedback for all possible parameters of multi-level feedback? Justify your answer briefly.**

**Can multi-level feedback simulate FCFS? Justify your answer briefly.**

17. [4 points] Consider a server with a buffer overflow vulnerability (for example, owing to a buggy server, a remote attacker can overwrite values on the server’s stack). Recall that there were three components to the attack that we saw in class: (1) The attacker placed its own code on the stack (specifically code to `exec()` a shell), (2) The attacker overwrote the original return address in the server’s stack frame; and (3) The replacement return address was that of the attacker-supplied code, causing the return from the function to set `%eip` to an address on the stack.

Now, as a defense to the attack above, say that the operating system marks a process’s stack as non-executable (modern x86 hardware permits such marking via bits in the page tables). As a result, if the attacker attempts the attack above, then the program will crash once `%eip` points to the stack.

Consider the following statement, “Marking the stack non-executable is sufficient to avoid all exploits of buffer overflow bugs”.

**Is the above statement True or False? Justify your answer briefly below in three or fewer sentences.**
18. [5 points] Ken Thompson, in his lecture “Reflections on Trusting Trust” (Turing Award lecture, 1984), describes how he “extended” the C programming language. His hacked C compiler matched well-known strings in the source of login and in cc.c, which is the source of the C compiler itself. On matching these well-known strings, Thompson’s hacked C compiler added bugs. Specifically, recall this figure from Thompson’s paper:

```c
void compile(char* s)
{
    if (match(s, "pattern1")) {
        compile("bug1");
        return;
    }
    if (match(s, "pattern2")) {
        compile("bug2");
        return;
    }
    ....
}
```

Thompson does not specify the language and format of bug1 and bug2, but let us assume for this question that they themselves are expressed in C.

We saw that recompiling the compiler perpetuates the bug. But what happens if we modify the C compiler source to target a different hardware architecture? Does the attack persist, or does the new compiler start with a clean slate?

Specifically, imagine that we modify cc.c to create cc-MIPS.c, the source code for a compiler that transforms C code to MIPS binaries. Assume that pattern2 occurs in cc-MIPS.c.

Now, if we compile cc-MIPS.c with the hacked compiler, we get a cross compiler, cc-MIPS-cross, that runs on our original architecture but produces MIPS binaries. If we then compile cc-MIPS.c with cc-MIPS-cross, we get cc-MIPS, a compiler that runs on the MIPS architecture and produces MIPS binaries. This question is asking whether cc-MIPS includes Thompson’s bug.

**Below, state whether the attack persists or not, and explain why. Your answer should be brief.**

19. [2 points] From which of our assigned readings is this quotation? “Be embarrassed when someone else finds a bug in your code—you’ve wasted their time”.

Name: UT EID:
20. [4 points] In Tanenbaum’s textbook, there is a figure in which he depicts two login screens: a correct one and a phony one. 

Describe briefly how the phony one differs from the correct one.
IV  Labs, communication, feedback (20 points total)

21. [5 points] Consider the code below, from kern/entry.S. This question asks why instruction fetches do not generate page faults right after JOS turns on paging. The comments in the code give the context for this question. A summary of that context is immediately below.

When JOS begins executing, its code is located in physical memory at an address that is slightly higher than 1 MB. Also, paging is not enabled. Thus, the instruction pointer, %eip, is in the range [1MB, 2MB]. However, the kernel is linked to run at KERNBASE+1MB, meaning that the kernel mostly “expects” its code to live at KERNBASE+1MB (or slightly above). As a result, the initial page table translates virtual addresses in the range [KERNBASE, KERNBASE+4MB) to physical addresses in the range [0, 4MB). Recall that KERNBASE is 0xf0000000.

# We haven’t set up virtual memory yet, so we’re running from the physical address the boot loader loaded the kernel at: 1MB (plus a few bytes). However, the C code is linked to run at KERNBASE+1MB. Hence, we set up a trivial page directory that translates virtual addresses [KERNBASE, KERNBASE+4MB) to physical addresses [0, 4MB). This 4MB region will be sufficient until we set up our real page table in mem_init in lab 2.

# Load the physical address of entry_pgdir into cr3. entry_pgdir is defined in entrypgdir.c.
movl $(RELOC(entry_pgdir)), %eax
movl %eax, %cr3

# Turn on paging.
movl %cr0, %eax
orl $(CR0_PE|CR0_PG|CR0_WP), %eax
movl %eax, %cr0

# (*) Now paging is enabled, but the EIP is still low. Why is this okay?

# Jump up above KERNBASE before entering C code.
mov $relocated, %eax  # <--- WHY NO PAGE FAULT?
jmp *%eax

relocated:

# Clear the frame pointer register (EBP) so that once we get into debugging C code, stack backtraces will be terminated properly.
movl $0x0,%ebp # nuke frame pointer

# Set the stack pointer
movl $(bootstacktop),%esp

# now to C code
call i386_init
Notice the comment and question marked (*). You should answer that question. Specifically, why doesn’t the instruction fetch of the line marked <--- generate a page fault?

Give your answer below in one or two sentences. We will not read past the second sentence.

22. [5 points] Which challenge problem did you implement for lab 5? (Lab 5 was about the file system.)

State your answer in a sentence or two below. You do not need to describe your approach, only which challenge you selected.
23. [8 points] This question tests both your technical understanding, and your ability to communicate that understanding. Consider the following:

- Waldsburger’s ballooning technique (in the VMWare ESX paper).
- Liedtke’s technique (in “Improving IPC by kernel design”, SOSP 1993) of direct transfers through temporary mappings.
- Jon Howell’s use of a trampoline in his binary rewriting lecture.

Choose one of the three technical mechanisms above. For your choice, explain the technique: explain the “what” of it and the “why” of it. Imagine that your audience is a colleague who is technically sophisticated but unfamiliar with the technique in question.

Note that the words that you choose matter. It is not enough for your answer to convince us that you understand; your answer should also make someone else understand. Excessively long answers will count against you, so you will have to make choices about what to say and what not to say.

Describe one of the techniques above. Do not use more than a paragraph (roughly 5 sentences).

24. [2 points] This is to gather useful feedback while we still have your attention. For both of the questions below, any answer will receive full credit. A blank answer will earn zero points.

Please list the two topics in this course that you most enjoyed:

Please list the two topics in this course that you least enjoyed:

Name: ___________________________ UT EID: ___________________________