

Foundations of Machine Learning

Convex Optimization

Mehryar Mohri
Courant Institute and Google Research
mohri@cims.nyu.edu

Convex Optimization

Convexity



- **Definition:** $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is said to be **convex** if for any two points $x, y \in X$ the segment $[x, y]$ lies in X :

$$\{\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\} \subseteq X.$$

- **Definition:** let X be a convex set. A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **convex** if for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y).$$


With a strict inequality, f is said to be **strictly convex**.
 f is said to be **concave** when $-f$ is convex.



Properties of Convex Functions

- **Theorem:** let f be a differentiable function. Then, f is convex iff $\text{dom}(f)$ is convex and

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), f(y) - f(x) \geq \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x).$$



- **Theorem:** let f be a twice differentiable function. Then, f is convex iff its Hessian is positive semi-definite:

$$\forall x \in \text{dom}(f), \nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0.$$

Constrained Optimization Problem

- **Problem:** Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f, g_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in [1, m]$. A constrained optimization problem has the form:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} f(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to: $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i \in [1, m]$.

- **Definition:** The **Lagrange function** or **Lagrangian** associated to this problem is the function defined by:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in X, \forall \boldsymbol{\alpha} \geq 0, L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i g_i(x).$$

α_i s are called **Lagrange** or **dual variables**.

Sufficient Condition

(Lagrange, 1797)

■ **Theorem:** Let P be a constrained optimization problem over $X = \mathbb{R}^N$. If (\mathbf{x}^*, α^*) is a **saddle point**, that is $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall \alpha \geq 0, L(\mathbf{x}^*, \alpha) \leq L(\mathbf{x}^*, \alpha^*) \leq L(\mathbf{x}, \alpha^*)$, then it is a solution of P .

■ **Proof:** By the first inequality,

$$\forall \alpha \geq 0, L(\mathbf{x}^*, \alpha) \leq L(\mathbf{x}^*, \alpha^*) \Rightarrow \forall \alpha \geq 0, \alpha \cdot g(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \alpha^* \cdot g(\mathbf{x}^*)$$

$$(\text{use } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty \text{ then } \alpha \rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow g(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq 0 \wedge \alpha^* \cdot g(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0.$$

● In view of that, the second inequality gives

$$\forall \mathbf{x}, L(\mathbf{x}^*, \alpha^*) \leq L(\mathbf{x}, \alpha^*) \Rightarrow \forall \mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha^* \cdot g(\mathbf{x}).$$

Thus, for all x such that $g(x) \leq 0$, $f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$.

Constraint Qualification

- **Definition:** Assume that $\text{int} X \neq \emptyset$. Then, the following is the strong constraint qualification or **Slater's condition**:

$$\exists \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \text{int} X: g(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) < 0.$$

- **Definition:** Assume that $\text{int} X \neq \emptyset$. Then, the following is the **weak** constraint qualification or **Slater's condition**:

$$\exists \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \text{int} X: \forall i \in [1, m], (g_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) < 0) \vee (g_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = 0 \wedge g_i \text{ affine}).$$

Necessary Conditions

- **Theorem:** Assume that f and $g_i, i \in [1, m]$, are **convex functions** and that Slater's condition holds. If \mathbf{x} is a solution of the constrained optimization problem, then there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ such that (\mathbf{x}, α) is a saddle point of the Lagrangian.
- **Theorem:** Assume that f and $g_i, i \in [1, m]$, are **convex differentiable functions** and that the weak Slater's condition holds. If \mathbf{x} is a solution of the constrained optimization problem, then there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ such that (\mathbf{x}, α) is a saddle point of the Lagrangian.

Kuhn-Tucker's Theorem

(Karush 1939; Kuhn-Tucker, 1951)

- **Theorem:** Assume that $f, g_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in [1, m]$ are convex and differentiable and that the constraints are qualified. Then $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is a solution of the constrained program iff there exist $\bar{\alpha} \geq 0$ such that:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\alpha}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \bar{\alpha} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$$

$$\nabla_{\alpha} L(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\alpha}) = g(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq 0$$

$$\bar{\alpha} \cdot g(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\alpha}_i g_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = 0.$$

KKT
conditions

- **Note:** Last two conditions equivalent to

$$(g(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq 0) \wedge \underbrace{(\forall i \in [1, m], \bar{\alpha}_i g_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = 0)}_{\text{complementary conditions}}$$

complementary conditions

- Since the constraints are qualified, if $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is solution, then there exists $\bar{\alpha}$ such that $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\alpha})$ is a saddle point. In that case, the three conditions are verified (for the 3rd condition see proof of sufficient condition slide).
- Conversely, assume that the conditions are verified. Then, for any \mathbf{x} such that $g(\mathbf{x}) < 0$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \geq \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \quad (\text{convexity of } f)$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\alpha}_i \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \quad (\text{first condition})$$

$$\geq - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\alpha}_i [g_i(\mathbf{x}) - g_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}})] \quad (\text{convexity of } g_i\text{s})$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\alpha}_i g_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \quad (\text{third condition})$$

Primal and Dual Problems

■ Primal problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} f(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to: $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$.

■ Dual problem:

$$\max_{\alpha} \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in X} L(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$$

subject to: $\alpha \geq 0$.

Equivalent problems when constraints qualified.