$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\rho^{1/5}\le\tau<1} c\tau^{-2}\sqrt{\rho}\,G(\rho) &= O(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{5}}\ln^2(\rho^{-1})) \\
\sum_{1\le\tau} c\tau^{-2}\sqrt{\rho}\,G(\tau^{-1}\rho) &\le c'\sum_{1\le\tau}\tau^{-2}\sqrt{\rho}(\ln\tau+\ln(\rho^{-1})) \\
&= O(\sqrt{\rho}\,\ln(\rho^{-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

which are all $o(\rho)$ so that (14) holds when ρ_0 is picked sufficiently small.

We bound

$$2n^{-1/4}\sum_{\tau} b(\tau\sqrt{n}) \le \sum_{\tau<\rho^{1/5}} \tau^{0.4} + \sum_{\rho^{1/5}\le\tau<1} \tau^{1/2}\rho + \sum_{1\le\tau}\tau^{-1/2}\rho$$

The first sum dominates and this is $O(\rho^{4/25})$ as $\rho\to 0$. We have shown:

Lemma 5.3 *There are absolute positive constants ρ_0, c so that if $|X|=\rho n$, $\rho<\rho_0$ then there exists a partial coloring χ so that*

$$|\chi(A\cap X)| \le cn^{1/4}\rho^{4/25}$$

for all $A\in\mathcal{A}$ and with at least half the points of X colored.

The exponent $\frac{4}{25}$ clearly could be improved by more careful calculation but it does not matter. We are done. Begin with $X=[n]$. Apply Lemma 3.1 and then Lemma 5.1 until $|X|<\rho_0 n$ and then apply Lemma 5.3 until $|X|<n^{1/4}$ and then color the remaining points arbitrarily. The final coloring χ has

$$|\chi(A)| \le cn^{1/4} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c'n^{1/4}(\rho_0 2^{-i})^{4/25} + n^{1/4} \le c^* n^{1/4}$$

for all $A\in\mathcal{A}$ and has *no* points uncolored.

References

[1] N. Alon and J. Spencer. *The probabilistic method.* J. Wiley & Sons, 1993.

[2] J. Beck. Roth's estimate on the discrepancy of integer sequences is nearly sharp. *Combinatorica* 1(4):319–325, 1981.

[3] P. Erdős and J. Spencer. *Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics*, Academic Press 1974.

[4] D. Kleitman. On a combinatorial problem of Erdős. *J. Combinatorial Theory* 1:209-214, 1966.

[5] J. Spencer. Six standard deviations suffice. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 289:679–706, 1985.

[6] J. Matoušek. Tight upper bounds for the discrepancy of halfspaces. Submitted to *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 1994.

[7] K.F. Roth. Remark concerning integer sequences. *Acta Arithmetica* 9:257–260, 1964.

Let $f(m,s)$ denote the number of s-sets in $\mathcal{C}_X$ so that $f(m,s) \le s^{-1}U$ with U as in §4. We first apply the elementary bound

$$f(m,s) \le \frac{mn}{s^2}$$

Lemma 5.2 *There is a partial coloring χ of X with*

$$|\chi(A \cap X)| \le cn^{1/4}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and with more than half the points of X colored.

Proof: We follow the proof of Lemma 3.1 precisely. For $s = \tau\sqrt{n}$ we set

$$b(\tau\sqrt{n}) = \sqrt{\tau\sqrt{n}}\,\sigma(\tau\sqrt{n}) \text{ with } \sigma(\tau\sqrt{n}) = \begin{cases} c'\tau^{-1} & \text{if } \tau \ge 1 \\ c'\tau^{-0.1} & \text{if } \tau < 1 \end{cases}$$

Again we need (8) and the bound (9) is the same. □

We iterate this result, beginning at $X = [n]$, resetting X to be the uncolored points at each iteration, stopping when $|X| < \rho_0 n$, with ρ_0 a sufficiently small (as determined later) absolute constant. This is a constant number of iterations (recall the number of uncolored points is at least halved at each iteration) so together we have a partial coloring χ with $|\chi(A)| \le cn^{1/4}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and a set X of fewer that $\rho_0 n$ points uncolored.

Remark: Continuing this process until $|X| < n^{1/4}$ and then coloring the remaining points arbitrarily would give a full coloring with all $|\chi(A)| \le cn^{1/4}\ln n$. Our "slight" improvement of §4 will allow a slight improvement as X becomes smaller so that the sum converges to $O(n^{1/4})$.

Now fix X with $|X| = \rho n$, $n^{-3/4} \le \rho \le \rho_0$. We set $b(\tau\sqrt{n}) = \sqrt{\tau\sqrt{n}}\,\sigma(\tau\sqrt{n})$ with

$$\sigma(\tau\sqrt{n}) = \begin{cases} \tau^{-0.1} & \text{if } \tau < \rho^{1/5} \\ \rho & \text{if } \rho^{1/5} \le \tau < 1 \\ \tau^{-1}\rho & \text{if } 1 \le \tau \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

and set

$$f(\tau\sqrt{n}) = \begin{cases} m\tau^{-2} & \text{if } \tau < \rho^{1/5} \\ cm\tau^{-2}\sqrt{\rho} & \text{if } \tau \ge \rho^{1/5} \end{cases}$$

which, by a slight weakening of Proposition 4.1, is an upper bound on the number of $\tau\sqrt{n}$-sets in $\mathcal{C}_X$.

We first claim that for ρ appropriately small

$$m^{-1}\sum_{\tau} f(\tau\sqrt{n})G(\sigma(\tau\sqrt{n})) \le 0.2 \tag{14}$$

(we recall the convention from §3 — τ in summation runs thru integral powers of 2). We split the sum by the ranges of (13). As functions of ρ

$$\sum_{\tau < \rho^{1/5}} \tau^{-2}G(\tau^{-0.1}) \;=\; O(\rho^{-2/5}e^{-\rho^{-1/30}})$$

The upper bound (12) suggests to define the d_i's by the initial condition $d_1 = \varepsilon n/s$ and by the recurrence

$$d_{i+1} = d_i + \left\lfloor \frac{s^2 d_i^2}{9mn} \right\rfloor .$$

One may check that with our choice of parameters, $s^2 d_1^2/(9mn) \geq 2$, and therefore $d_{i+1} \geq d_i + s^2 d_i^2/(18mn)$. We need to check the validity of (11), but this follows by calculation from the assumption $s \geq 5\sqrt{m}$.

It remains to estimate the smallest k such that $d_{k+1} \geq n/s$. Set $\alpha = s^2/(18mn)$. Then $d_{i+1} \geq d_i(1 + \alpha d_i)$. Given i, let us estimate the number j of steps needed so that $d_{i+j} \geq 2d_i$. We have $d_{i+j} \geq d_i(1 + \alpha d_i)^j \geq d_i(j\alpha d_i)$, so $j \geq 1/(2\alpha d_i)$ suffices for the doubling. Therefore, the first doubling (from d_1 to at least $2d_1$) needs

$$\frac{1}{2d_1\alpha} = \frac{9m}{s\varepsilon} = O(\frac{n}{s}\sqrt{\rho})$$

steps. Then the successive doubling times decrease geometrically, until the ratio of successive two members of the sequence of the d_i's exceeds 2. The number of remaining steps needed for reaching n/s after this happens is at most $\log_2((n/s)/d_1) = \log_2(1/\varepsilon)$. Therefore $k = O((n/s)\sqrt{\rho} + \log(1/\rho)) = O((n/s)\sqrt{\rho})$, and $\sum_{d\in J} |U(d)| = O((mn/s)\sqrt{\rho})$ as claimed. □

Remark: The set $S = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ gives a value $U \sim \frac{m^2}{s} = \frac{nm}{s}\rho$. Finding the maximal value of $U = U(n, m, s)$ is an intriguing problem we do not pursue here but we conjecture that our Proposition 4.1 is *not* best possible.

5 The End of the Hunt

Let $X \subseteq [n]$, $|X| = m = \rho n$ with $n^{-3/4} \leq \rho \leq 1$. Our object is to find a partial coloring χ of X so that $|\chi(X \cap A)|$ is small for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and at least half the points of X are colored. Once successful, we'll apply this process iteratively beginning with $X = [n]$ (which we did in §3), resetting X to be the uncolored points, until $|X| < n^{1/4}$ at which time the remaining points may be colored arbitrarily.

Following (6) set

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_X = \bigcup_{1\leq d\leq n} \bigcup_{0\leq i<d} CINT[\{x \in X : x \equiv i \bmod d\}]$$

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we may, as in §2.1, decompose $A \cap X = B \setminus C$ with $C \subset B$ and B, C both disjoint unions of sets of $\mathcal{C}_X$ of different cardinalities. Lemma 3.3 now generalizes.

Lemma 5.1 *If χ is a partial coloring of X so that $|\chi(Y)| \leq b(|Y|)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{C}_X$ then*

$$|\chi(A \cap X)| \leq 2 \sum_{s=2^i} b(s)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. A number $x \in U(d) \cap U(d')$ can be specified by giving the number $r = \lfloor x/\mathrm{lcm}(dd') \rfloor$ plus thes residue classes of x modulo d and modulo d', by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. The number r can be chosen in at most $\lceil n/\mathrm{lcm}(d,d') \rceil$ ways, and we note that $U(d)$ may intersect at most $|U(d)|/s$ residue classes modulo d, and similarly for $U(d')$. □

Lemma 4.3 *Let $I \subseteq \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ be a set such that $d \geq d_0$ for all $d \in I$, and $\gcd(d,d') \leq M$ for all distinct $d,d' \in I$. Suppose that $d_0^2/n \leq M \leq s^2 d_0^2/(9mn)$. Then*

$$\sum_{d \in I} |U(d)| \leq 2m\,.$$

Proof. If not add indices to I one by one until the sum first gets over $2m$. Stopping then would give a set I with the same assumptions where $x = \sum_{d\in I} |U(d)|$ satisfies $2m < x \leq 3m$. We use Inclusion-Exclusion:

$$m \geq \left| \bigcup_{d \in I} U(d) \right| \geq \sum_{d \in I} |U(d)| - \sum_{d,d' \in I,\, d<d'} |U(d) \cap U(d')|\,. \tag{10}$$

By Lemma 4.2 and by the assumptions on I, we have

$$\sum_{d<d'} |U(d) \cap U(d')| \leq \sum_{d<d'} \frac{|U(d)| \cdot |U(d')|}{s^2} \left\lceil \frac{nM}{d_0^2} \right\rceil \leq \frac{1}{2s^2} \left(\sum_{d \in I} |U(d)| \right)^2 \left(\frac{nM}{d_0^2} + 1 \right)$$

The assumption on M implies $nM/d_0^2 \geq 1$. Thus, from (10), we further get

$$m \geq x - \frac{x^2}{2s^2} \frac{2nM}{d_0^2} > 2m - \frac{9m^2 nM}{s^2 d_0^2} \geq 2m - m = m$$

(using the upper bound on M in the assumption of the Lemma), a contradiction. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We may suppose that m, n, s, ρ^{-1} are all sufficiently large (otherwise the claim is satisfied trivially). We fix a parameter $\varepsilon = 5\sqrt{\rho}$. We let J be the interval

$$J = \left[\varepsilon \frac{n}{s}, \frac{n}{s} \right]$$

(we may also suppose that $\varepsilon mn/s$ is an integer). We note that the d lying outside the interval J only contribute at most $\varepsilon mn/s = 5(nm/s)\sqrt{\rho}$ to U. Hence it suffices to bound $\sum_{d \in J} |U(d)|$.

We want to partition the interval J into consecutive intervals $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k$, in such a way that Lemma 4.3 can be applied to each of them, giving the bound $\sum_{d \in I_i} |U(d)| \leq 2m$. It remains to calculate how small can k be made. If we denote $I_i = [d_i, d_{i+1})$, then we have $\gcd(d,d') \leq d_{i+1} - d_i$ for any 2 distinct numbers $d, d' \in I_i$. Thus, in order to apply Lemma 4.3, it is enough to have

$$d_{i+1} - d_i \;\geq\; \frac{d_i^2}{n} \tag{11}$$

$$d_{i+1} - d_i \;\leq\; \frac{s^2 d_i^2}{9mn}\,. \tag{12}$$

$O(\tau^{-2}\exp(-\tau^{-0.2}/9))$ (τ small) give convergent sums so we find an absolute constant T for which

$$\sum_{\tau \geq T} \tau^{-2} G(\tau^{-1}) + \sum_{\tau < T^{-1}} \tau^{-2} G(\tau^{-0.1}) < 0.1$$

As $\lim_{x\to\infty} G(x) = 0$ we may now select $c' \geq 1$ sufficiently large so that the finite sum

$$\sum_{T^{-1} < \tau < T} \tau^{-2} G(c\tau^{-0.1}) < 0.1$$

yielding (8). Hence by Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 2.4 there is a partial coloring of $[n]$ with at least half of the points colored and with

$$|\chi(A)| \leq 2\sum_{\tau} b(\tau\sqrt{n}) \leq 2c' n^{1/4} \left[\sum_{\tau \geq 1} \tau^{-1/2} + \sum_{\tau < 1} \tau^{0.4} \right] \tag{9}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. As the bracketed sums both converge this gives Lemma 3.1.

4 Number Theory

Let $X \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be an m-element set. Let s be an integer, $1 \leq s \leq n$. For an integer d, let $U(d)$ denote the set of all $x \in X$ in residue classes modulo d for which at least s elements of X lie in that residue class. We are interested in the quantity

$$U = \sum_{d} |U(d)|$$

We can clearly restrict ourselves to the range $1 \leq d \leq n/s$ (for larger d, $U(d) = \emptyset$). Also, for each d, $|U(d)| \leq m$, and thus we get $U \leq nm/s$. This is tight for $s = 1$ but, for large enough s, the following theorem gives an improvement. The intuition behind it is that while for some individual value of d, the members of X can be distributed among very few residue classes modulo d only, such a distribution cannot occur for too many values of d at once.

Set $\rho = m/n$. We have

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose that* $5\sqrt{m} \leq s \leq m$, *then*

$$U \leq c\frac{nm}{s}\sqrt{\rho}\,,$$

for an absolute constant c.

Lemma 4.2 *For any pair* d, d' *of distinct natural numbers, we have*

$$|U(d) \cap U(d')| \leq \frac{|U(d)|.|U(d')|}{s^2} \left\lceil \frac{n}{\mathrm{lcm}(d, d')} \right\rceil .$$

Proof: With $A = \{x_u : i \le u \le j\}$ set $B = \{x_u : 1 \le u \le j\}$ and $C = \{x_u : 1 \le u \le i-1\}$. Take the binary expansion $j = 2^{b_1} + 2^{b_2} + \ldots$, $b_1 > b_2 > \ldots$ of j. Decompose B into the first 2^{b_1} elements of X union the next 2^{b_2} elements of $X \ldots$, and do likewise with C. $\Box$

We can think of any arithmetic progression as a subinterval of an entire residue class so that

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{1 \le d \le n} \bigcup_{0 \le i < d} INT[\{x \in [n] : x \equiv i \bmod d\}]$$

We define the "canonical arithmetic progressions"

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_n = \bigcup_{1 \le d \le n} \bigcup_{0 \le i < d} CINT[\{x \in [n] : x \equiv i \bmod d\}] \tag{6}$$

Lemma 3.3 *If χ is a partial coloring of $[n]$ so that*

$$\chi(X) \le b(|X|)$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$ then

$$\chi(A) \le 2 \sum_{s;\ s=2^i \le n} b(s) \tag{7}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$. $\Box$

3.2 The Coloring

For $s = 2^i \le n$ how many s-sets are in $\mathcal{C}_n$? We restrict $1 \le d \le \frac{n-1}{s-1}$ (otherwise the residue classes have fewer than s elements) and for each d the s-sets are disjoint so there are at most $\frac{n}{s}$ of them, giving an upper bound of $\frac{n(n-1)}{s(s-1)}$ of them. For $s = 1$ there are only n distinct singletons. Ignoring asymptotically insignificant terms we'll say that $\mathcal{C}_n$ has at most $n^2 s^{-2}$ sets of size s.

Remark: For $s \sim \sqrt{n}$ we have $\sim n$ sets of size s and Corollary 2.4 gives a partial coloring with $|\chi(A)| \le cn^{1/4}$ for all such sets. We need simultaneously color the larger and smaller sets. To avoid a logarithmic term in applying (7) we'll need a slightly better bound on $|\chi(A)|$ when $|A|$ is not near $\sqrt{n}$.

We parameterize $s = \tau\sqrt{n}$ so that we have $n\tau^{-2}$ sets of size s. We'll assume for convenience that $\sqrt{n}$ is a power of two so that $\tau = 2^i$, i integral. We set

$$b(\tau\sqrt{n}) = \sqrt{\tau\sqrt{n}}\,\sigma(\tau\sqrt{n}) \text{ where } \sigma(\tau(\sqrt{n})) = \begin{cases} c'\tau^{-1} & \text{if } \tau \ge 1 \\ c'\tau^{-0.1} & \text{if } \tau < 1 \end{cases}$$

We claim that, for an appropriately large constant c', (5) is now satisfied. We need show

$$\sum_{\tau \ge 1} \tau^{-2} G(c'\tau^{-1}) + \sum_{\tau < 1} \tau^{-2} G(c'\tau^{-0.1}) < \frac{1}{5} \tag{8}$$

where τ in the sums runs over integral powers of 2 and G is given by (4). We will insist $c' \ge 1$ so that $G(c'y) \le G(y)$. Both $\tau^{-2}G(\tau^{-1}) = O(\tau^{-2}\ln(\tau))$ (τ large) and $\tau^{-2}G(\tau^{-0.1}) =$

Corollary 2.4 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a family of subsets of an n-set Ω consisting of at most $f(s)$ sets of size s. If $b(s) = \sigma(s)\sqrt{s}$ where $\sigma(s)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_s f(s)G(\sigma(s)) \leq \frac{n}{5} \tag{5}$$

then there is a partial coloring χ of Ω with $|\chi(A)| \leq b(|A|)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and at least half the points of Ω colored. □

With these bounds we can already give a result which is interesting in its own right and may give significant insight into the somewhat technical computations to come.

Theorem 2.5 *There is an absolute constant c so that the following holds for all n, s. If $A_1, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \Omega$ and $|\Omega| = n$ and all $|A_i| \leq s$ then there is a partial coloring χ of Ω with less than half the points of Ω uncolored and with*

$$|\chi(A_i)| \leq c\sqrt{s}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 we may pick c so that $G(c) \leq 0.2$. Now apply Corollary 2.4. □

The monotonicity of G allows a further generalization of Corollary 2.4. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of subsets of an n-set Ω which breaks into subfamilies consisting of at most $f(s)$ sets of size *at most* s. When (5) holds the conclusion of Corollary 2.4 then holds. In particular, given any $A_1, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have n sets of size at most n we may pick c so that $G(c) < 0.2$ and then there exists a partial coloring χ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with all $|\chi(A_i)| \leq c\sqrt{n}$ and at least half the points colored. The result was the core of [5].

3 The First Partial Coloring

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the family of arithmetic progressions contained in $\Omega = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Here we show:

Lemma 3.1 *There is a partial coloring of Ω so that $|\chi(A)| \leq cn^{1/4}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and at least half the points of Ω are colored.*

3.1 The Decomposition

Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_l\}$ be any set of integers with $x_1 < \ldots < x_l$. Define $INT(X)$ to be the family of intervals — i.e., all sets $\{x_u : i \leq u \leq j\}$ where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l$. Now define $CINT(X)$ (the *canonical intervals* on X) by taking, for all powers of two $s = 2^i \leq l$, all sets $\{x_{(j-1)s+1}, \ldots, x_{js}\}$ with $js \leq l$. That is, we split X into consecutive intervals of length $s = 2^i$, ignoring the "extra". The following observation is standard:

Lemma 3.2 (Decomposition lemma) *Any $A \in INT(X)$ can be written $A = B \setminus C$ with $C \subset B$ and with B and C both decomposable into disjoint unions of sets in $CINT(X)$ of different sizes.*

Corollary 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a family of subsets of an n-set Ω consisting of at most $f(s)$ sets of size s. If $b(s)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_s f(s)ENT(s, b(s)) \leq \frac{n}{5}$$

then there is a partial coloring χ with $|\chi(S)| \leq b(|S|)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{A}$ and fewer than half the points of Ω uncolored.

In applying Corollary 2.2 we need upper bounds on $ENT(n, b)$. The correct parameterization is $b = \lambda\sqrt{n}$. Roughly S_n is like $\sqrt{n}N$ where N is standard normal so that $ENT(n, \lambda\sqrt{n})$ should be like $g(\lambda) = H(R_\lambda(N))$. Analysis gives that for λ large $g(\lambda) = \Theta(\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda^2/2}))$ ($i = \pm 1$ giving the dominant terms) while for as $\lambda \to 0$ $g(\lambda) = \Theta(\ln(\lambda^{-1}))$, the major contribution being $p_i = \Theta(\lambda^{-1})$ for $i = O(\lambda^{-1})$. The following results are somewhat weaker and certainly not best possible but have the advantage of holding for *all* n, λ.

Lemma 2.3 *There is an absolute constant c so that $ENT(n, \lambda\sqrt{n}) \leq G(\lambda)$ where we define*

$$G(\lambda) = \begin{cases} ce^{-\lambda^2/9} & \text{if } \lambda \geq 10 \\ c & \text{if } 0.1 \leq \lambda \leq 10 \\ c\ln(\lambda^{-1}) & \text{if } \lambda < 0.1 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Proof (Outline): We employ the universal bound

$$\Pr\left[S_n \geq \tau\sqrt{n}\right] \leq e^{-\tau^2/2}$$

Set $g_i = \exp(-\lambda^2(2i-1)^2/8)$, $i \geq 1$ and $g_0 = 1 - 2\exp(-\lambda^2/8)$. From (2) $p_i, p_{-i} \leq g_i$ and $p_0 \geq g_0$. On $[0, 1]$ the function $-x\log_2 x$ increases to $x = e^{-1}$ and then decreases. When $\lambda \geq 10$, $g_i \leq e^{-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $g_0 \geq e^{-1}$ so

$$ENT(n, \lambda\sqrt{n}) \leq -g_0\log_2 g_0 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} -g_i \log_2 g_i$$

This is a continuous function of λ which is $O(\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda^2/8})$ or, giving ground, $O(e^{-\lambda^2/9})$. When $0.1 \leq \lambda \leq 10$ set $I = \{-100, \ldots, +100\}$. The contribution to $ENT(n, b)$ from $i \in I$ is at most $\log_2 |I| \leq 8$. For $i \notin I$ certainly $g_i < e^{-1}$ so

$$ENT(n, \lambda\sqrt{n}) \leq 8 + 2\sum_{i=101}^{\infty} -g_i \log_2 g_i \leq 9$$

For $\lambda < 0.1$ set $I = \{i : |i| < \lambda^{-20}\}$. Again for $i \notin I$ we have $g_i \leq e^{-1}$ and

$$ENT(n, \lambda\sqrt{n}) \leq \log_2(2\lambda^{-20} + 1) + 2\sum_{|i|>\lambda^{-20}} -g_i \log_2 g_i \leq 40\ln(\lambda^{-1})$$

by computation. □

We may now further reexpress Corollary 2.2.

With this definition we give our general criterion.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $S_1, \ldots, S_v \subseteq \Omega$ with $|\Omega| = n$ and $|S_i| = n_i$. Suppose b_i, ε and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ are such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{v} ENT(n_i, b_i) \leq \varepsilon n$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\gamma n} \binom{n}{j} < 2^{n(1-\varepsilon)} \tag{3}$$

Then there is a partial coloring χ of Ω with

$$|\chi(S_i)| \leq b_i \text{ for all } i$$

and more than $2\gamma n$ points $x \in \Omega$ colored.

Proof. Consider the uniform probability space of all $\chi : \Omega \to \{-1, +1\}$ and define the random variable

$$L(\chi) = (R_{b_1}(\chi(S_1)), \ldots, R_{b_v}(\chi(S_v))$$

By subadditivity of entropy

$$H(L) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{v} H(R_{b_i}(\chi(S_i))) = \sum_{i=1}^{v} ENT(n_i, b_i) \leq \varepsilon n$$

Hence some value of L has probability at least $2^{-\varepsilon n}$ of being achieved. As all χ have probability 2^{-n} there is a set Γ of at least $2^{n(1-\varepsilon)}$ colorings χ so that if $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \Gamma$ then $L(\chi_1) = L(\chi_2)$.

We naturally associate such colorings χ with points on the Hamming Cube $\{-1, +1\}^n$. (With $\Omega = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ associate χ with $(\chi(1), \ldots, \chi(n))$.) A theorem of Kleitman [4] (basically an isoperimetric inequality) states that any $\Gamma \subseteq \{-1, +1\}^n$ of size bigger than $\sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{n}{l}$ with $l \leq \frac{n}{2}$ contains two points at Hamming distance (i.e., the number of different coordinates) at least $2l$. (This is "best possible" as Γ may be the set of all sequences with at most l coordinates $+1$.) Thus there are $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \Gamma$ at Hamming distance at most $2\gamma n$. Set

$$\chi(x) = \frac{\chi_1(x) - \chi_2(x)}{2} \text{ for all } x \in \Omega\,.$$

Then χ is a partial coloring. The number of colored points is precisely the Hamming distance which is at least $2\gamma n$. For each i the values $\chi_1(S_i), \chi_2(S_i)$ have the same b_i-roundoff and therefore lie in a common open interval of length less than $2b$. Thus

$$|\chi(S_i)| = \left| \frac{\chi_1(S_i) - \chi_2(S_i)}{2} \right| < b_i$$

as desired. □

We note that (3) holds for, say, $\gamma = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\varepsilon = 0.2$, this value will suffice for our purposes. Also, we shall always use a bound on $|\chi(S)|$ dependent only on $|S|$. We'll use the Lemma in the following simpler form.

In words, we show the *existence* of a two-coloring χ of the first n integers so that all arithmetic progressions A have imbalance $|\chi(A)| \leq Cn^{1/4}$. We remark that the proof does not give a construction of χ in the usual sense and is indeed not satisfactory from an algorithmic point of view. The methods of §2 (see comments in [5]) are such that we have not been able to obtain an algorithm that would output this coloring χ in time polynomial in n. Our proof involves variants of the probabilistic method, we give [1] as a general reference. The technique of our proof combines methods of [2], [5], [6].

Throughout the paper, we'll use the symbols c, c' etc. generically for denoting absolute constants, and in order to limit the number of symbols, we reuse them freely.

2 Entropy

Let $A_1, \ldots, A_v \subseteq \Omega$. A *partial coloring* is a map $\chi : \Omega \to \{-1, 0, +1\}$. When $\chi(x) = 0$ we call x uncolored, otherwise x is called colored. We define, for $A \subseteq \Omega$, $\chi(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \chi(x)$. Our object will be to give a general condition under which there exists a partial coloring χ with the $|\chi(A_i)|$ "small" and "few" $x \in \Omega$ uncolored.

For any positive integer b define the *b-roundoff function* $R_b(x)$ as that i so that $2bi$ is the nearest multiple of $2b$ to x. In case of ties take the larger. Thus

$$R_b(x) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad -b \leq x < b \tag{2}$$

$$R_b(x) \geq i \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x \geq (2i-1)b$$

$$R_b(x) \leq -i \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x < -(2i-1)b$$

Let X be any discretely valued random variable. We use the standard definition of the *entropy function* $H(X)$

$$H(X) = \sum_i -p_i \log_2(p_i)$$

where $p_i = \Pr[X = i]$, the summation is over the possible values of X, and $0 \log_2 0$ is interpreted as 0. We shall use the following well known facts about entropy:

- Entropy is subadditive. That is, if $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_v)$ then $H(X) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{v} H(X_i)$.
- When X takes on at most K values it has entropy at most $\log_2 K$, the extreme case being a uniformly chosen value from a K-set. Moreover $\sum_{i \in I} -p_i \log_2(p_i) \leq \log_2 |I|$ for any subset of values of X.
- When X has entropy less than K it takes on some value with probability at least 2^{-K}.

Let S_n, as standard, denote the sum of n independent random variables, each uniform on $\{-1, +1\}$. When $\chi : \Omega \to \{-1, +1\}$ is uniform and $A \subseteq \Omega$, $|A| = n$, then $\chi(A)$ has distribution S_n. Now we come to a key definition:

$$ENT(n, b) = H(R_b(S_n))$$

Discrepancy in Arithmetic Progressions

JIŘÍ MATOUŠEK*
Department of Applied Mathematics
Charles University
Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Praha 1
Czech Republic

JOEL SPENCER
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences
251 Mercer Street, New York NY 10012
U.S.A.

Abstract

It is proven that there is a two-coloring of the first n integers for which all arithmetic progressions have discrepancy less than $const.n^{1/4}$. This shows that a 1964 result of K.F. Roth is, up to constants, best possible.

1 Results and History

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a family of subsets of a finite set Ω. By a two-coloring of Ω we shall mean a map $\chi : \Omega \to \{-1, +1\}$. For any $X \subseteq \Omega$ we define $\chi(X) = \sum_{x \in X} \chi(x)$. The *discrepancy* of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\chi} \max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\chi(A)| \tag{1}$$

Let $\Omega = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, which we denote by $[n]$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the set of arithmetic progressions on $[n]$. The discrepancy of this set system was investigated in 1964 by K.F. Roth [7]. If we define the function $ROTH(n) = \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{A})$, his result can be written

$$ROTH(n) \geq cn^{1/4}$$

c a positive absolute constant. That is, for any two coloring χ of the first n integers there will be an arithmetic progression A on which the "imbalance" $|\chi(A)|$ is at least $cn^{1/4}$.

It is interesting that Roth himself did not believe his result to be best possible and speculated that perhaps $ROTH(n) = n^{1/2-o(1)}$. Indeed a bound $ROTH(n) = O(\sqrt{n \ln n})$ follows by elementary probabilistic considerations. In the early 1970's Sárközi (see [3]) showed $ROTH(n) \leq n^{1/3+o(1)}$. A breakthrough was given in 1981 by Beck [2] who showed $ROTH(n) \leq cn^{1/4} \ln^{5/2} n$. Here we show

Theorem 1.1

$$ROTH(n) \leq Cn^{1/4}$$

with C an absolute constant.

*Supported by Charles University grant No. 351 and Czech Republic Grant GAČR 201/93/2167. Part of this research was done during a visit at Princeton University supported by DIMACS.